

# Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> does not increase eucalypt forest productivity on a low-phosphorus soil

David S. Ellsworth<sup>1\*</sup>, Ian C. Anderson<sup>1</sup>, Kristine Y. Crous<sup>1</sup>, Julia Cooke<sup>2</sup>, John E. Drake<sup>1</sup>, Andrew N. Gherlenda<sup>1</sup>, Teresa E. Gimeno<sup>3</sup>, Catriona A. Macdonald<sup>1</sup>, Belinda E. Medlyn<sup>1</sup>, Jeff R. Powell<sup>1</sup>, Mark G. Tjoelker<sup>1</sup> and Peter B. Reich<sup>1,4</sup>

**Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> stimulates photosynthesis and productivity of forests, offsetting CO<sub>2</sub> emissions<sup>1,2</sup>. Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments in temperate planted forests yielded ~23% increases in productivity<sup>3</sup> over the initial years. Whether similar CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation occurs in mature evergreen broadleaved forests on low-phosphorus (P) soils is unknown, largely due to lack of experimental evidence<sup>4</sup>. This knowledge gap creates major uncertainties in future climate projections<sup>5,6</sup> as a large part of the tropics is P-limited. Here, we increased atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration in a mature broadleaved evergreen eucalypt forest for three years, in the first large-scale experiment on a P-limited site. We show that tree growth and other aboveground productivity components did not significantly increase in response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in three years, despite a sustained 19% increase in leaf photosynthesis. Moreover, tree growth in ambient CO<sub>2</sub> was strongly P-limited and increased by ~35% with added phosphorus. The findings suggest that P availability may potentially constrain CO<sub>2</sub>-enhanced productivity in P-limited forests; hence, future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> trajectories may be higher than predicted by some models. As a result, coupled climate-carbon models should incorporate both nitrogen and phosphorus limitations to vegetation productivity<sup>7</sup> in estimating future carbon sinks.**

Limited understanding of the size of the CO<sub>2</sub>-induced fertilization effect on forest carbon sinks remains among the largest quantitative uncertainties in terms of terrestrial feedbacks to the carbon (C) cycle–climate system<sup>6,8,9</sup>. Coupled climate–C cycle models project a 24–80% increase of net primary productivity (NPP) for forests in the next 50 years with rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, with substantial atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> responses expected for forests in the tropics<sup>4,10</sup>. These model projections are partly based on elevated CO<sub>2</sub> (eCO<sub>2</sub>) experiments in young temperate planted forests, which have yielded on average ~23% increases in production<sup>3</sup> over several years with 200 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations<sup>4,11</sup>. Due to the lack of experimental evidence, at present we do not know how large the eCO<sub>2</sub> fertilization response is for mature forests that grow on soils where phosphorus (P) is limiting productivity<sup>4,10</sup>, as is the case for many evergreen broadleaved forests. This knowledge gap creates major uncertainties in future climate projections<sup>9</sup> because evergreen broadleaved forests comprise over a third of global forest area, and dominate the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> sink at lower latitudes<sup>5,6</sup>. Many eCO<sub>2</sub> experiments have taken place in young tree plantations<sup>3</sup> on relatively P-rich soils, but unlike aggrading

forests, mature forests are more likely near nutritional equilibrium with their underlying soils. Hence, mature forests may be more appropriate for understanding *in situ* nutrient limitations to productivity and C storage with rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Without clear understanding of this nutrient feedback to the C cycle in evergreen broadleaved forests, we cannot accurately estimate the trajectory of future atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, thus limiting our ability to estimate climate change mitigation by such forests and constrain internationally allowable CO<sub>2</sub> emissions<sup>9,12</sup>.

Soil nutrient limitation may restrict eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced biomass enhancement and related C storage processes<sup>11</sup>, but it is unclear if the type of nutrient limitation is important. Studies in a temperate grassland and a forest ecosystem under contrasting CO<sub>2</sub> and N supply suggest a large initial stimulation in productivity, often followed by reduced CO<sub>2</sub> stimulation when N is limiting<sup>13,14</sup>. Limited P supply might affect tree growth and ecosystem C sequestration processes differently than the N-supply limitation<sup>15</sup> that has thus far been demonstrated in eCO<sub>2</sub> experiments on N-poor soils. In heavily weathered soils common in tropical and subtropical regions, P is typically bound to Fe and Al oxides, hydroxides and secondary minerals and not available to plants. One possibility is that increased plant carbohydrate availability from eCO<sub>2</sub> leads to increased plant investment in the secretion of organic acids from roots<sup>16</sup> or the investment in P acquisition by mycorrhizal symbionts. This would thereby reduce P limitation to broadleaved evergreen forest productivity<sup>17</sup> by increasing plant access to scarce soil P. Consistent with this idea, there is evidence that recent rising CO<sub>2</sub> may have driven a substantial portion of the observed historical increase in tropical forest carbon stocks<sup>18</sup>, although future increases remain in question.

Although there is considerable variation in soil fertility across the world, tree growth in highly weathered tropical and subtropical soils may be limited by P availability in addition to, or rather than, N availability<sup>19,20</sup>. Hence, nutrient availability and the type of nutrient limitation may both be important in regulating forest CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization responses in those regions<sup>7,17</sup>. There is still little agreement on how to appropriately represent P limitations to productivity in Earth systems models<sup>7,21</sup>, and there has been no direct experimental test of the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect in P-limited forests (Supplementary Fig. 1).

To help fill this gap, we established a free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment experiment on six circular 25-m-diameter plots in mature *Eucalyptus* forest (EucFACE) on a low-P soil near Sydney, Australia (23 m elevation; 33° 37' 4" S, 150° 44' 25" E) (Supplementary

<sup>1</sup>Hawkesbury Institute for the Environment, Western Sydney University, Penrith, New South Wales 2751, Australia. <sup>2</sup>School of Environment, Earth and Ecosystem Science, The Open University, Milton Keynes MK7 6AA, UK. <sup>3</sup>ISPA, Bordeaux Science Agro, INRA, 33140 Villenave d'Ornon, France.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA. \*e-mail: D.Ellsworth@westernsydney.edu.au

**Table 1 | Repeated-measures analysis of variance of CO<sub>2</sub> treatment and time effects.**


Source	Photosynthesis			ANPP		
	df	F ratio	P value	df	F ratio	P value
CO <sub>2</sub>	1,4	18.20	0.013	1,4	0.76	0.432
Time	9,36	9.10	<0.0001	2,8	5.85	0.084
CO <sub>2</sub> × Time	9,36	0.73	0.682	2,8	0.094	0.911

These effects are shown for leaf net photosynthesis (left side) and aboveground net primary production, ANPP from 2013 to 2015 (right side). The mixed-model repeated-measures analysis for photosynthesis was done using data shown in Fig. 1a, with the time term indicating sampling date across three years. For ANPP, the time term is 'year', the first to third year of the full eCO<sub>2</sub> treatment. In both analyses, a mixed-model repeated-measures analysis was done using a fixed treatment (CO<sub>2</sub>) and a random plot effect, and Type III sums of squares computed using restricted maximum likelihood estimates for *F*-tests. The numerator and denominator degrees of freedom (df) for each *F*-test are shown.

Fig. 2). The main canopy species, *Eucalyptus tereticornis*, has a distribution through tropical and temperate zones. EucFACE has unique characteristics compared to prior forest elevated CO<sub>2</sub> experiments: the presence of mature broadleaved evergreen trees in natural unmanaged forest, and nutrient-poor soil with a demonstrated P limitation to tree growth<sup>22</sup>. A gradual CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment began in September 2012 at 30 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> above ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, and slowly ramped up to the full-strength eCO<sub>2</sub> treatment of 150 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> above ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration<sup>23</sup>, which began on 6 February 2013. This full CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was maintained throughout the following three years (February 2013–February 2016) that are the focus of this report. We hypothesized a stimulation of photosynthesis and tree growth in early years of the experiment, consistent with many previous experiments<sup>3,11,17</sup>, but that such enhancement by eCO<sub>2</sub> would be modest (compared to other studies) due to the strong P limitation in this system<sup>24</sup>.

Over the first three years of eCO<sub>2</sub>, we found a significant enhancement of light-saturated leaf net photosynthesis rate in the tree canopies ( $F_{1,4} = 18.20$ ,  $P = 0.013$ ; Table 1 and Fig. 1). Prior to eCO<sub>2</sub> enhancement, there had been no significant pre-treatment difference (Fig. 1). Over ten repeated sampling dates, the average stimulation by eCO<sub>2</sub> of photosynthesis was 19% with a 95% confidence interval (CI) between 14.5% and 24.0%. The consistent stimulation of photosynthesis suggests a sustained net positive CO<sub>2</sub> flux into the ecosystem from eCO<sub>2</sub> over three years, in accord with previous experiments<sup>11</sup>.

By contrast, this enhanced photosynthesis (Fig. 1) did not translate into increased tree stem growth or aboveground productivity (Fig. 2). Aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) of the *Eucalyptus* forest averaged 300 g C m<sup>-2</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and was similar in eCO<sub>2</sub> and the ambient CO<sub>2</sub> treatment (on average -8% across 2013–2015,  $P$  value = 0.43; Fig. 2, with a 95% CI for this effect between -25% and +9%). The complete lack of a CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization effect on productivity was inconsistent with our hypothesis and unexpected based on previous experiments<sup>3,11,15</sup> and most models<sup>4,21</sup>. ANPP was not statistically different between CO<sub>2</sub> treatments across years (Table 1) or for each year individually (Supplementary Figs 2 and 3), nor did any ANPP component indicate a positive eCO<sub>2</sub> response. Foliage and fine twig (plus bark) production were the largest components of ANPP (Fig. 2), averaging 48% and 28% of the total, respectively. For these components, the estimated eCO<sub>2</sub> effect size encompassed zero (95% CI between -30% and +7% for foliage and between -21% and +24% for twigs). Similarly, the estimated eCO<sub>2</sub> effect size of wood production was not statistically distinguishable from zero (Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1). There was no significant eCO<sub>2</sub> effect on stemwood biomass increment across the three years of this study, nor a year × eCO<sub>2</sub> interaction (Supplementary Table 1;




**Figure 1 | Pattern of leaf net photosynthesis in the canopy over the first three years of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.** **a**, Photosynthesis for canopy leaves at prevailing seasonal temperatures and growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration across time, including pre-treatment values (left panel) and the mean over the experimental period (right panel). For pre-treatment (left panel), photosynthesis in both plot types was measured at the same ambient CO<sub>2</sub> concentration of 395 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> before CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment. **b**, The CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization response ratio for photosynthesis over time, with grey areas representing two-sided 95% confidence intervals for the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization response ratio for each of the measurement timepoints. The mean response ratio with lower and upper 95% confidence limits is shown by the grey area around the square, taken across all timepoints (right panel). The leaf photosynthesis in **a** was significantly different overall between CO<sub>2</sub> treatments ( $P = 0.013$ ) and there was no Time × CO<sub>2</sub> treatment interaction (repeated-measures ANOVA from mixed-model analysis; Table 1). Means ± 1 s.e.m. for  $N = 3$  plots per treatment are shown across ten different measurement periods, with open symbols for ambient and closed symbols for eCO<sub>2</sub>. The s.e.m. bars may be obscured by points.

$P = 0.420$ ). Thus, there was no indication of an eCO<sub>2</sub> fertilization response of any component of ANPP despite a sustained increase in photosynthesis.

We also examined tree-level biomass growth responses across tree size categories between experimental manipulations we did within this forest, either of P availability or of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. *Eucalyptus* trees in the forest were capable of higher growth when soil P limitation was alleviated by P fertilization<sup>22</sup>, as growth of adjacent P-fertilized trees in ambient CO<sub>2</sub> increased by 35% compared to similar sized ambient-grown, unfertilized trees of the same size class over a similar 48-month period (Fig. 3). These results suggest that mature trees have the potential to respond to a release from P limitation. Since growth was greatest for the largest size classes of trees within the overall stand, we also asked whether the eCO<sub>2</sub> effect showed size dependencies. For individual tree biomass increment, the growth of all tree size classes was unaffected by eCO<sub>2</sub> regardless of whether individuals were grouped by dominance (Supplementary Table 1) or by diameter classes (Fig. 3 and Supplementary Fig. 3). Thus, there was no CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization response observed for any size class of trees on this low-P site, in marked contrast to previous observations in young temperate plantations. Even N-limited plantations showed an initial eCO<sub>2</sub> stimulation in productivity<sup>13,15</sup>, whereas no such early eCO<sub>2</sub> response occurred in our P-limited forest. These findings provide key evidence for the debate regarding the capacity for CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization of the large C stocks maintained in mature forests<sup>1,25</sup>, particularly on P-limited soils at mid to low latitudes<sup>4,18</sup>, and fill a critical knowledge gap for mature forests responses to eCO<sub>2</sub>.

As no root production and turnover data are available for the first year and a half of the experiment, we do not know whether belowground productivity was influenced by eCO<sub>2</sub>, although there is evidence of an initial stimulation in root and/or rhizosphere respiration returning CO<sub>2</sub> back to the atmosphere<sup>23</sup>.




**Figure 2 | Aboveground net primary production (ANPP) in a mature *Eucalyptus* stand and its components across three years of elevated CO<sub>2</sub>.**

Total ANPP is represented by the combination of stemwood biomass production (stippled), fine twig and bark production (striped), seed and capsule production (hatched), and leaf production (solid). Stemwood production is determined as the annual biomass increment, and foliage + fine twig turnover are measured as annual biomass turnover collected monthly in permanent litter baskets. Reproductive structures ("capsules") were measured in all three years but are small and obscured in 2014 and 2015. Ambient plots are shown with white backgrounds, and elevated CO<sub>2</sub> plots have grey/black backgrounds. Stem biomass increment, total foliage + fine twig turnover, and total ANPP were not significantly different across CO<sub>2</sub> treatments ( $P=0.85$ ,  $0.41$ , and  $0.38$  respectively). Means  $\pm$  1 s.e.m. for  $N=3$  plot replicates are shown for total ANPP, with yearly means shown for each component.

Assessing belowground productivity is challenging given difficulties in accessing deep roots and methodological problems with all approaches for quantifying belowground NPP (ref. 26). Given that ANPP is typically 75–80% of total forest NPP globally<sup>26</sup>, we demonstrated no eCO<sub>2</sub> response on productivity for an important set of components of aboveground C balance in a P-limited forest ecosystem. A meta-analysis of open-top chamber and free-air studies mostly in N-limited grassland ecosystems suggested that root biomass might be stimulated slightly more than shoot biomass under eCO<sub>2</sub> (+28% versus +22%, respectively), but cautioned that a lack of data on root and shoot biomass measured simultaneously within long-term experiments precluded a definitive answer to that question<sup>27</sup>. Due to a paucity of studies, such data are not widely available for low-P ecosystems. Experiments involving eCO<sub>2</sub> on low-P sites are rare, but in the glasshouse, ref. 24 found that neither root C nor total belowground C was significantly affected by eCO<sub>2</sub> until P was added to a native soil. Lack of an aboveground growth response to eCO<sub>2</sub> in EucFACE, lack of preferential belowground C stimulation of root growth in prior long-term eCO<sub>2</sub> studies<sup>14</sup> and lack of a belowground response to eCO<sub>2</sub> by P-limited plants in a glasshouse<sup>24</sup> are all no guarantee that there will also be no belowground eCO<sub>2</sub> response in EucFACE. However, these studies collectively suggest a large belowground C storage response of the EucFACE to eCO<sub>2</sub> may be unlikely, although we cannot rule out the possibility. Given these uncertainties, further work is needed to quantify the full stand C cycle response to eCO<sub>2</sub>.

Our results are consistent with models accounting for nutrient limitations, suggesting that P-limited forest ecosystems should



**Figure 3 | Biomass increment of five different size classes of *Eucalyptus* trees.** Shown is the biomass increment over four years from Dec. 2011 to Dec. 2015 within each size class for ambient (open bars, mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.) and elevated CO<sub>2</sub>-grown trees (dark bars, mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.), and ambient-grown trees with four years of P fertilization (striped bar, mean  $\pm$  s.e.m.). Diameter classes are defined as the diameter in Dec. 2011 before the start of treatments. The biomass increment for elevated CO<sub>2</sub> trees in the first size class (15–20 cm) were not different from zero. Each tree diameter class by treatment combination contained 9 unsuppressed trees on average ( $N=5$  trees for P-fertilized). Bars are means  $\pm$  1 s.e.m. within each size class. The P-fertilized tree increment is significantly different from the ambient tree increment for the appropriate size class ( $P=0.031$ ; one-tailed  $t$ -test).

show a constrained eCO<sub>2</sub>-induced productivity enhancement<sup>21,28</sup>. These models are generally not well-constrained by empirical evidence<sup>4,21</sup> such as large-scale free-air CO<sub>2</sub> experiments, and the biogeochemistry of P availability in the context of environmental change is not well understood<sup>7,17</sup>. As a single tree species dominates the forest overstory in our study, it may still be possible that species-rich tropical forests show a larger composite response to eCO<sub>2</sub> than observed here<sup>29</sup>. In this P-limited woodland, we observed a complete lack of wood, twig, or foliage growth enhancement with CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization. As forests vary in their degree of nutrient limitation<sup>20</sup>, there is no reason to posit that a complete absence of a productivity response to eCO<sub>2</sub> should be the norm in mature forests on P-limited soils. However, given the prevalence of P limitations in subtropical and tropical regions<sup>20,30</sup>, our results strongly suggest that these forests might show a muted productivity increase with CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization, especially when compared with the strong positive responses seen in young temperate forests on more fertile, P-rich soils<sup>11</sup>. If this were generally the case, it would indicate a constrained capacity of P-limited, mid- to low-latitude mature forests to sequester additional C from the atmosphere in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched world, resulting in smaller future reductions in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations by this vegetation, less than anticipated by models that do not consider P limitations.

## Methods

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the [online version of this paper](#).

Received 29 June 2016; accepted 2 February 2017; published online 6 March 2017

## References

1. Bonan, G. B. Forests and climate change: forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of forests. *Science* **320**, 1444–1449 (2008).

2. Friedlingstein, P. *et al.* Persistent growth of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and implications for reaching targets. *Nat. Geosci.* **7**, 709–715 (2014).
3. Norby, R. J. *et al.* Forest response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> is conserved across a broad range of productivity. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **102**, 18052–18056 (2005).
4. Piao, S. L. *et al.* Evaluation of terrestrial carbon cycle models for their response to climate variability and to CO<sub>2</sub> trends. *Glob. Change Biol.* **19**, 2117–2132 (2013).
5. Cox, P. M. *et al.* Sensitivity of tropical carbon to climate change constrained by carbon dioxide variability. *Nature* **494**, 341–344 (2013).
6. Schimel, D. *et al.* Effect of increasing CO<sub>2</sub> on the terrestrial carbon cycle. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 436–441 (2015).
7. Reed, S. C. *et al.* Incorporating phosphorus cycling into global modeling efforts: a worthwhile, tractable endeavor. *New Phytol.* **208**, 324–329 (2015).
8. Friedlingstein, P. *et al.* Uncertainties in CMIP5 climate projections due to carbon cycle feedbacks. *J. Clim.* **27**, 511–526 (2014).
9. Sitch, S. *et al.* Recent trends and drivers of regional sources and sinks of carbon dioxide. *Biogeosciences* **12**, 653–679 (2015).
10. Arora, V. K. *et al.* Carbon-concentration and carbon-climate feedbacks in CMIP5 earth system models. *J. Clim.* **26**, 5289–5314 (2013).
11. Nowak, R. S. *et al.* Functional responses of plants to elevated atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>—do photosynthetic and productivity data from FACE experiments support early predictions? *New Phytol.* **162**, 253–280 (2004).
12. Seneviratne, S. I. *et al.* Allowable CO<sub>2</sub> emissions based on regional and impact-related climate targets. *Nature* **529**, 477–483 (2016).
13. Oren, R. *et al.* Soil fertility limits carbon sequestration by forest ecosystems in a CO<sub>2</sub>-enriched atmosphere. *Nature* **411**, 469–472 (2001).
14. Reich, P. B. & Hobbie, S. E. Decade-long soil nitrogen constraint on the CO<sub>2</sub> fertilization of plant biomass. *Nat. Clim. Change* **3**, 278–282 (2013).
15. Finzi, A. C. *et al.* Progressive nitrogen limitation of ecosystem processes under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a warm-temperate forest. *Ecology* **87**, 15–25 (2006).
16. Lambers, H. *et al.* Phosphorus nutrition in Proteaceae and beyond. *Nat. Plants* **1**, 15109 (2015).
17. Chambers, J. Q. & Silver, W. L. Some aspects of ecophysiological and biogeochemical responses of tropical forests to atmospheric change. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **359**, 463–476 (2004).
18. Pan, Y. D. *et al.* A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* **333**, 988–993 (2011).
19. Elser, J. J. *et al.* Global analysis of nitrogen and phosphorus limitation of primary producers in freshwater, marine and terrestrial ecosystems. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 1135–1142 (2007).
20. Tanner, E. V. J. *et al.* Experimental investigation of nutrient limitation of forest growth on wet tropical mountains. *Ecology* **79**, 10–22 (1998).
21. Medlyn, B. E. *et al.* Using models to guide field experiments: a priori predictions for the CO<sub>2</sub> response of a nutrient- and water-limited native Eucalypt woodland. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 2834–2851 (2016).
22. Crous, K. Y. *et al.* Is phosphorus limiting in a mature *Eucalyptus* woodland? Phosphorus fertilisation stimulates stem growth. *Plant Soil* **391**, 293–305 (2015).
23. Drake, J. E. *et al.* Short-term carbon cycling responses of a mature eucalypt woodland to gradual stepwise enrichment of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentration. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 380–390 (2016).
24. Edwards, E. J. *et al.* Phosphorus status determines biomass response to elevated CO<sub>2</sub> in a legume: C<sub>4</sub> grass community. *Glob. Change Biol.* **11**, 1968–1981 (2005).
25. Körner, C. *et al.* Carbon flux and growth in mature deciduous forest trees exposed to elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. *Science* **309**, 1360–1362 (2005).
26. McCormack, M. L. *et al.* Redefining fine roots improves understanding of below-ground contributions to terrestrial biosphere processes. *New Phytol.* **207**, 505–518 (2015).
27. de Graaff, M. A. *et al.* Interactions between plant growth and soil nutrient cycling under elevated CO<sub>2</sub>: a meta-analysis. *Glob. Change Biol.* **12**, 2077–2091 (2006).
28. Zhang, Q. *et al.* Nitrogen and phosphorus limitations significantly reduce future allowable CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **41**, 632–637 (2014).
29. Sakschewski, B. *et al.* Resilience of Amazon forests emerges from plant trait diversity. *Nat. Clim. Change* **6**, 1032–1036 (2016).
30. Goll, D. S. *et al.* Nutrient limitation reduces land carbon uptake in simulations with a model of combined carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus cycling. *Biogeosciences* **9**, 3547–3569 (2012).

### Acknowledgements

EucFACE was built as an initiative of the Australian Government as part of the Nation-building Economic Stimulus Package, and is supported by the Australian Commonwealth in collaboration with Western Sydney University. A portion of this work was supported by grants from the Australian Research Council (ARC) Discovery grants scheme, particularly DP110105102 and DP160102452. K.Y.C. acknowledges ARC support (DECRA program), and T.E.G. acknowledges the CSIRO and a Marie S. Curie IEF Fellowship. We thank the team of people who have assisted with the canopy sampling, and S. Wohl, C. Barton, V. Kumar, C. McNamara and C. Beattie, who provided technical assistance. We thank C. P. Osborne for comments on an early version of the manuscript. The data are available as a package from Research Data Australia.

### Author contributions

D.S.E., I.C.A. and B.E.M. designed the eCO<sub>2</sub> experiment. D.S.E., K.Y.C. and T.E.G., designed the photosynthesis measurements and carried out and analysed them with J.C. and J.E.D.; K.Y.C., J.C., J.R.P., D.S.E. and A.N.G. did the litterfall collections and measurements. D.S.E., P.B.R., J.R.P., K.Y.C., M.G.T. and B.E.M. did the analyses and statistical tests. D.S.E. and P.B.R. wrote the draft of the paper. All authors contributed to subsequent versions.

### Additional information

Supplementary information is available in the online version of the paper. Reprints and permissions information is available online at [www.nature.com/reprints](http://www.nature.com/reprints). Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to D.S.E.

### Competing financial interests

The authors declare no competing financial interests.



## Methods

Six large circular plots (0.05 ha each) were established in 2010 in a mature eucalypt woodland on an alluvial spodosol in western Sydney, Australia. The location receives 800 mm of precipitation per annum on average and has a mean annual temperature of 17.5 °C ([www.bom.gov.au](http://www.bom.gov.au)). Mean maximum temperature in the warmest month is 30 °C and mean minimum temperature in the coldest month is 3.6 °C, with monthly mean temperatures always >10 °C. The CO<sub>2</sub> treatment was implemented in three of the plots using free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment under computer control using the pre-dilution approach starting in September 2012. After a period where the [CO<sub>2</sub>] increased gradually over approximately 6 months<sup>23</sup>, the plots received ambient +150 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> CO<sub>2</sub> during daylight hours over all days of the year, for February 2013 onward. The mean 5-min [CO<sub>2</sub>] in the tree crowns was kept within ±50% of the desired target of ambient +150 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> for 98% of the daylight hours over 2013–2014 (Supplementary Fig. 2). A separate set of trees within the stand ( $N = 5$ ), located at least 60 m from the eCO<sub>2</sub> plots, were fertilized with 50 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> starting in 2011, in two lots of superphosphate fertilizer applied within the drip line of the trees during the growing season<sup>22</sup>. Root barriers were established before any fertilization by trenching and inserting a plastic barrier to 50 cm depth in the soil around the set of fertilized and control trees. The P-addition treatments were maintained through the duration of the study, resulting in four years of P fertilization concurrent with the three-year eCO<sub>2</sub> study.

**Net photosynthesis.** Light-saturated net photosynthesis of leaves was measured at high light, the growth CO<sub>2</sub> concentration and prevailing seasonal temperature at the top of three dominant or co-dominant trees in each plot using a pair of temperature- and CO<sub>2</sub>-controlled portable photosynthesis systems (Li-6400, Li-Cor). Access to the ~22 m treetops was by construction cranes permanently located adjacent to each plot<sup>31</sup>. A smaller set of measurements on shaded foliage within the tree crowns was used to confirm results from the upper-crown measurements in terms of the CO<sub>2</sub>-enhancement effect on photosynthesis; thus, the entire crown can be expected to behave similarly.

**Aboveground productivity measurements.** Wood production was estimated from measured stem diameter changes for  $N = 146$  trees across the ambient and elevated plots. The diameter of each tree was measured at 1.3 m height at approximately monthly intervals starting February 2011, two years prior to commencement of the full CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. Manual band dendrometers were used to monitor stem diameter changes. The permanently placed bands consisted of plastic straps graduated with a vernier scale placed around a tree (D1 Permanent Girth Tape, UMS GmbH) to detect changes in diameter to the nearest 0.01 π cm. As 99% of the tree stems measured represented by *E. tereticornis*, a species-specific allometric regression for *E. tereticornis*<sup>32</sup> was used to convert these increments to aboveground biomass increment. Of a total of 146 trees measured across the ambient and elevated plots, 49 suppressed trees, 6 co-dominant trees with trunk defects, and 4 trees showing shrinkage possibly preceding mortality were omitted from the mixed-model analysis. We thus used a total of  $N = 87$  trees measured across all years and without stem defects, suppression or shrinkage in the mixed-model analyses.

Foliage and twig production were measured as litterfall, collected monthly in ~0.2 m<sup>2</sup> circular fine-mesh traps at eight random locations per plot<sup>33</sup>. Litter was sorted into leaf, twigs and bark, and other material, dried at 40 °C and weighed. A subsample was reweighed when dried at 70 °C and a small moisture correction was applied to the leaf component of the whole data set. We use litterfall to estimate

annual foliage and twig production, but acknowledge that this approach assumes steady state for these pools as would be expected in mature forest without any recent major disturbance. A steady-state status for foliage pools in 2013 and 2014 has been demonstrated in Ref. 32, but foliage litterfall was a month earlier in all rings in 2015 than prior years due to an outbreak of psyllids (*Cardiaspina* sp.)<sup>34</sup>.

Annual C turnover by trunk bark production was not accounted for. For the leaf component, the productivity was computed as the sum of annual litterfall whilst for twigs we assume strictly annual turnover across the three years. We assume that all biomass components are comprised of 47% C for the purpose of calculating annual C storage and turnover comprising aboveground net productivity.

**Statistical analyses.** We analysed the photosynthesis data<sup>35</sup> using a mixed-model repeated-measures analysis of variance in R v3.3.1 using the 'lme4' function within the 'nlme' package, with CO<sub>2</sub> treatment as a fixed factor and plot as a random factor nested within CO<sub>2</sub> treatment. There were no pre-treatment differences in photosynthesis at light saturation and prevailing temperatures amongst the plots measured at the same [CO<sub>2</sub>] ( $P > 0.10$ ). Outcomes from type III *F*-tests are reported. A similar model was used to analyse annual aboveground net productivity, including leaf production, twig and bark production, and total stem growth. Confidence intervals for the CO<sub>2</sub> effect size estimate were computed in R (<http://cran.r-project.org>) using the function 'confint', which applies quantile functions for the *t*-distribution after model fitting. We further analysed stemwood increment<sup>35</sup> on an individual tree basis for the largest 15 trees in each plot, using pre-treatment growth (biomass increment from February 2011–June 2012) as a covariate. For this analysis both plot and tree were treated as random factors. Pre-treatment was comprised of 2011 and the first six months of 2012 where no additional CO<sub>2</sub> was added to the plots<sup>23,31</sup>. All data were checked for normality using the Q–Q plots and Levene's test, and residuals from model fitting were checked for evidence of heteroscedasticity. Constant error variances were confirmed by this approach, and if not, then an appropriate transformation was employed to ensure constant variances.

**Data availability.** The data sets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available in a Research Data Australia repository (<http://doi.org/10.4225/35/57ec5d4a2b78e>).

## References

- Gimeno, T. E. *et al.* Conserved stomatal behaviour under elevated CO<sub>2</sub> and varying water availability in a mature woodland. *Funct. Ecol.* **30**, 700–709 (2016).
- Paul, K. I. *et al.* Development and testing of allometric equations for estimating above-ground biomass of mixed-species environmental plantings. *For. Ecol. Manage.* **310**, 483–494 (2013).
- Duursma, R. A. *et al.* Canopy leaf area of a mature evergreen *Eucalyptus* woodland does not respond to elevated atmospheric [CO<sub>2</sub>] but tracks water availability. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 1666–1676 (2016).
- Gherlenda, A. N. *et al.* Boom and bust: rapid feedback responses between insect outbreak dynamics and canopy leaf area impacted by rainfall and CO<sub>2</sub>. *Glob. Change Biol.* **22**, 3632–3641 (2016).
- Ellsworth, D. S. *et al.* Aboveground Net Primary Productivity and Photosynthesis for EucFACE from 2013 to 2015. *Research Data Australia* <http://doi.org/10.4225/35/57ec5d4a2b78e> (2017).